

(a) whether the St. Joseph's Catholic Syrian Bank, Ltd., Narakkal, has carried out all the provisions of section 80 of the Joint Stock Companies Regulation ;

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, will the Government be pleased to place on the table a copy of the balance-sheet and the auditor's certificate ;

(c) if the answer to (a) is in the negative, will the Government be pleased to state whether any action has been taken in the matter against the Directors of the Bank ;

(d) if no action has been taken, will the Government be pleased to take any action, in the best interests of the shareholders, the *kuri* ticket-holders and the efficient development of Joint Stock concerns ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—(a) Section 80 of the Companies Act deals with representation of companies at meetings of other companies of which they are members. This Bank is not a member of any other company. Hence no provision of this section has to be complied with.

(b), (c) and (d). No answer is necessary in view of that to (a) above.

179. Mr. Poulo Mampilli.—Will the Government be pleased to state, whether the Registrar of the Joint Stock Companies is satisfied that the Bank keeps the registers contemplated by the Regulation correctly ? If he is satisfied, will the Government be pleased to state if the Registrar has made any enquiries and when ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—Yes. Inspections were made by the Assistant Registrar on 13th Medam 1101 and 16th Thulam 1102.

180. Mr. Poulo Mampilli.—Will the Government be pleased to state the amounts taken practically as permanent loans of Rs. 100 per share by the share-holders ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—No amount is seen to have been paid as permanent loans of Rs. 100 per share.

181. Mr. Poulo Mampilli.—Will the Government be pleased to state if at present there is any part of the share capital that is used for the proper business of the Bank ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—The accounts show that the whole amount collected has been used for the proper business of the Bank.

Claims of Mr. P. A. Anantarama Ayyar.

182. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether Mr. P. A. Anantarama Ayyar, at present an auditor on leave in the Comptroller's Office, was ordered to retire

from State service in July 1922; will the Government be pleased to lay before the table of the House a copy of that order;

(b) (i) was Mr. Anantarama Ayyar holding any permanent appointment at the time when the said order was issued;

(ii) if not, what acting appointment was he holding then;

(iii) will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a list of appointments in the gift of His Highness the Maharaja as per the provisions of S. O. No. 21;

(iv) does Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's last acting appointment come under this category;

(v) if so, was His Highness' sanction obtained before his retirement order was issued;

(c) (i) can an officer in Government employ be sent away without one month's notice of discharge;

(ii) why was article 187 of the C. S. R. not complied within the case of Mr. Anantarama Ayyar;

(d) (i) will the Government be pleased to lay on the table of the House a copy of the petition submitted to the Diwan by Mr. Anantarama Ayyar on the 3rd Karkadagam 1097, the date previous to the issue of the order;

(ii) did the Diwan take any notice of this petition, and if so, what are the orders on this petition;

(e) was Mr. Anantarama Ayyar retired from service in pursuance of the order issued by the Diwan; if not, why; was he appointed again; if so, when and to what post and on what pay; how long did this acting appointment last?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—(a) Copy of the order is appended. (*Vide Appendix "A."*)

(b) (i) No.

(ii) None.

(iii) The Member is referred to the S. O.

(iv) No.

(v) He was not retired.

(c) (i) Yes.

(ii) There was no occasion for it.

(d) (i) Please see *Appendix "B."*

(ii) Please see *Appendix "A."*

(e) Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was ordered to submit his application for compensation pension, but an acting vacancy in the grade of a Devaswam Inspector on Rs. 100 having arisen, he was appointed to it at his own request with effect from 7th Karkadagam 1097 to 6th Thulam 1098.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—You will find from the answer

to question 186 placed on the table to-day that Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was granted privilege leave for one and a half months from 20th Edavam 1097. My objection is to the answer to question 182 (b) (ii) which is not correct. I do not really understand the meaning of the answer. From the answer to question 186, it will be found that Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was granted privilege leave for one and a half months from 20th Edavam 1097. It expired on the date on which the order contained in Appendix A was issued, that is, on 4th Karkadagam 1097. And the Diwan's order also refers to the fact that Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's acting appointment expires on that day. The Government now answers he was not holding any appointment at all on that date. I do not know what is meant by that?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—The statement in the Diwan's Proceedings is a mistake.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—That is why I asked these questions.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—If he asks question by question, I will be able to answer them. If he puts a number of questions together, the matter becomes a little bit difficult and complicated.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—If that question is not answered here, I will have to put it again. I do not understand the meaning of that statement that Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was not holding any appointment under the Government on that date.

President.—On going through the Appendix now, you see his acting appointment ceased on 4th Karkadagam. Then, what is the blunder that has been committed? If not, what acting appointment was he holding? Then, that will be another question. Was he not holding the Fishery Inspector's post?

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—The Fishery Inspector's post was abolished on the 1st of Dhanu 1097. When the Fishery Inspector's post was abolished, he was working as acting Tahsildar. Then, he applied that he may be provided with a permanent post. This is the reply given to him by the Government. "The petitioner is informed that his claims, if any, will be considered, when a vacancy actually arises." So, surely, the suitable vacancy has not as yet arisen. To send a man with a permanent post on Rs. 125 and acting on Rs. 150 for three years to a post of an auditor on Rs. 60—80 does not mean that that was the suitable vacancy.

President.—When the Fishery Inspector's post was abolished, he was given the post of an acting Tahsildar on Rs. 150. Then, he applied for leave for one and a half months. Then, what became of him?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—He applied for privilege leave for one and a half months from 20th Edavam 1097. The leave was granted. He was then considered to have a superior claim for a Tahsildar's post. The Tahsildar was on deputation as the Census Superintendent, and he was not expected to return before the leave period of Mr. Anantarama Ayyar expired. Unfortunately, on the 21st of Mithunam 1097, the permanent man on deputation as Census Superintendent reverted to his permanent place. From that date, Mr. Anantarama Ayyar had no lien on any appointment.

President.—In fact, had he any lien on the Fishery Inspector's post?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—No. Since the post was abolished altogether. When he was acting as Tahsildar, he had some lien on that post. But when the permanent man reverted, he had no lien on his acting appointment too.

President.—So it comes to this. The man was on leave, and before the expiry of his leave, the permanent incumbent to that post reverted, and so he had no lien even on his acting appointment. So he had to walk out.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—As per the Diwan's Proceedings (Appendix A), he was ordered to send in his application for compensation pension, because there was no suitable vacancy in the State for him. He complained against that order, and at that particular time, another vacancy occurred in the Devaswam Department, where he was temporarily provided as a Devaswam Inspector. Then, he was given an acting appointment in the Chief Engineer's Office. In the Comptrollers Office a vacancy arose which was a permanent one, and at his own request, he was confirmed on Rs. 80. That is the state of affairs.

President.—That is now his permanent appointment. So that you have now given us a history of Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's appointment.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—From the 21st of Mithunam 1097, when the permanent Tahsildar reverted to his place, Mr. Anantarama Ayyar had no acting or permanent place up to the date of his joining duty as a Devaswam Inspector.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—He was granted leave on 20th Edavam 1097 for one and a half months. So his leave expires on the 4th of Karkadagam 1097. I cannot know how his leave could expire before the 4th Karkadagam, for it is said that from the 21st of Mithunam he had no lien on any appointment in the State. Now, the next question will be, was there any order cancelling his leave?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—It is not necessary.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Should not there be some order?

Mr. S. F. Nunez.—May I know, Sir, what is the appointment held by him now?

President.—What is it that you want, Mr. Nunez? A tussle is going on between the Secretary to the Diwan and Mr. Raja, and I am here to supply you all the necessary information and interpretation. You are all spectators. A number of questions have been asked, and all particulars are being supplied. Without all these questions and answers, he could not give a consistent history. Your interference is not proper.

Mr. S. F. Nunez.—I am sorry for the interference.

President.—I am asking my Secretary to explain it, and if he is not able to explain, I want him to say that there is a mistake committed.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—I already said that there is a mistake committed in the Diwan's Proceedings.

President.—What is the mistake?

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—The statement made in the Diwan's Proceedings on the 4th Karkadagam 1097 that he had no lien on any post from that date. From that order it would mean that he had a lien on his acting appointment till the date of that order.

President.—It must be according to what you say.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—What you say I cannot clearly understand. I have no clear conception of Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's case. It was subsequently brought to the notice of the Diwan that Mr. Anantarama Ayyar had no lien on any post from the period from which Mr. Govinda Menon, the Tahsildar of Chittur, rejoined duty in Mithunam 1097.

President.—From Mithunam 21st, Mr. Anantarama Ayyar could not act for anybody, for the permanent man has reverted to his post, and since Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was only acting for that post. In order that he may be granted leave till the 4th Karkadagam, he must have an appointment, and from the 21st of Mithunam to the 4th of Karkadagam, there was evidently no appointment on which he could have a lien. What was he doing during that period?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—The matter was not brought to our notice.

President.—The grant of leave to Mr. Anantarama Ayyar from the 20th of Edavam to the 4th of Karkadagam was a mistake, because there was no appointment on which he could have a lien. When Mr. Govinda Menon reverted, Mr. Ananta-

rama Ayyar ceased to have any lien on this acting post. So the Government order is a mistake.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Is not the appointment of Mr. Anantarama Ayyar an appointment which comes under Standing Order 21 which requires His Highness' sanction?

President.—His Highness' sanction is not necessary. The Diwan is competent to do it himself.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—Especially privilege leave.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—The power of appointment is vested in His Highness, and so the retirement of Mr. Anantaramn Ayyar could only be done with the sanction of His Highness.

President.—There is no case of retirement here. With reference to the case in hand, no sanction from His Highness is necessary.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—When the Fishery Inspector's post was abolished, and when they could not provide him with a post, the only course left to the Government was to ask him to submit his application for compensation pension under section 184 of the *Cochin Service Regulations*.

President.—The only course left was to retire him on such pension as he was found eligible. But he was given an appointment as an acting Devaswam Inspector.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—My information is the Devaswam Inspector's appointment was available even when Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was informed that his claims will be considered.

President.—"Was this Devaswam Inspector's post available, when Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was informed that his claims will be considered?" That is the question asked.

In questions like this, you must have studied the whole case. It is a sort of cross-examination from beginning to end.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—The only object in putting these questions here is, we find an officer on a permanent salary of Rs. 125, and who has acted for three and a half years on Rs. 150, being sent as an auditor on Rs. 60—80. Now, my difficulty is one of my questions has not been answered. That question was whether there was any charge against Mr. Anantarama Ayyar before the advent of the Ex-Diwan, Mr. Narayana Menon. It will be found that there was absolutely no charge against this man. What is the use of keeping people on low salary, when justice required that they ought to be given a higher pay?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—Which is that question?

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—That has not been answered.

President.—Were all those questions sent the same day?

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Yes, Sir.

President.—Then, why was that question left unanswered?

Mr. S. F. Nunez.—This is the same case with me. I had sent only 3 questions, and only one is answered.

President.—Why could you not send more questions so that at least some of them might be answered? You do not know what a good deal of work we are doing to collect answers to these questions. So, if we could answer all these questions, what is the use of keeping your two questions unanswered?

Mr. N. Padmanabha Menon.—I want to bring one matter to your notice. I also had sent certain questions regarding the very same case of the particular officer. He is to retire in this Makaram. This is a case about which several Members have put supplementary questions. Articles 11 and 18 (Standing Orders) and 187, 188 and 223 of the C. S. R. have been grossly violated by the Government in this particular case. This is an important matter which reflects on the prestige of the Government and the confidence reposed by the people in the Government. So you will please use your discretion and grant 15 minutes more time in carrying on this discussion.

President.—Yes. I give you time till 12—45.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Did he not put in a petition for the Devaswam Inspector's place? My information is that he had applied to the Diwan.

President.—Was there any such request from him?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayya.—There is no written record. It seems that he has made a personal representation requesting the Diwan to consider his case.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Does the order mention that he has made an oral request? It is strange that a man on Rs. 125 has applied for a post on Rs. 100.

President.—There were no other vacancies at that time.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—I shall prove that there were vacancies then.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—May I know who the Diwan at that time was?

President.—These are all actions of the Government and not of the Diwan. He was a claimant for the acting Tahsildar's

place. Was there a vacancy of the Devaswam Inspector's place, and was he appointed according to his own request?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—It was at his own request that he was appointed as acting Devaswam Inspector on Rs. 100.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—From the next question it will be seen that Mr. M. P. Antony, Deputy Superintendent of Malippuram, on Rs. 125, retired in Kanni 1098. Why was not this post offered to Mr. Anantarama Ayyar?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—Because Mr. A. Sankunni Menon was senior to Mr. Anantarama Ayyar, and hence he was appointed to that post.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Mr. Sankunni Menon was only the Manager of the Diwan Peishkar's Office on Rs. 100, and how can he be senior to Mr. Anantarama Ayyar who was drawing a permanent pay of Rs. 125 then?

President.—When the Fishery Inspector's place was abolished, Mr. Anantarama Ayyar lost his permanency, and was appointed as a permanent auditor of the Comptroller's Office on Rs. 60 to Rs. 80, and Mr. Sankunni Menon thus became a senior to him.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—The vacancy of the auditor on Rs. 60 to Rs. 80 came only later on, when Sankunni Menon, was appointed as Deputy Superintendent of Malippuram, and Mr. Viswanatha Ayyar who was holding the auditor's place on Rs. 60 to Rs. 80 was appointed in the place of Sankunni Menon.

President.—When the Malippuram Deputy Superintendent's place became vacant, why was he not appointed in that place? Should not his seniority be considered? He was a man who was acting as Tahsildar for sometime. Although by some reason or other his post was abolished, he was allowed to continue in service. In filling up the Malippuram Deputy Superintendent's place, why was not the claims of Mr. Anantarama Ayyar considered?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—The Government might have considered him unsuitable for that particular post.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I draw your attention to Standing Order 11 which lays down "that preference should be given to officers who are thrown out of employment"? The contention of the Government is that he had no permanent place. A vacancy occurred in two months' time which was not given to him, and consequently he was thrown out.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—On that particular pay, there was no vacancy, while he was the acting Devaswam Inspector.

President.—He was the Fishery Inspector, and though that post was abolished, you have to find a place for him. Although he had to be kept on acting appointments for some time for want of a vacancy, he should have been given a permanent place at the earliest opportunity on the same pay. Why was not this given to him, although vacancies arose? This is the question asked now.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—I shall not take any more time, as the Hon'ble Member for Cranganur wants to have his say.

Mr. N. Padmanabha Menon.—According to Standing Orders 11 and 18 and C. S. R. 187, 188 and 223, if the Government gives an assurance that his case will be favourably considered, I shall not ask any supplementary questions.]

President.—What do these rules relate to?

Mr. N. Padmanabha Menon.—If Mr. Anantarama Ayyar had been given a post in conformity with S. O. 11 and 18, he would have drawn a pension of Rs. 75. If he is retiring in Makaram, he will be entitled only to a pension of Rs. 40. Mr. Anantarama Ayyar is a person who was serving the Government all along with credit. In the answer to question No. 207 the Government says "The Government do not concur in the view that Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was treated in a unique manner". By the discussion here, I believe that the phase of the question alters.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Another peculiar feature is that in one notification the pay of the officer will be mentioned, and in another it is omitted. It is indeed a pity that he is thus singled out. A man who was getting Rs. 150 is now getting Rs. 80. This is indeed a sad irony of fate, and let the world understand this.

183. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

- i. when did Mr. M. P. Antony, Deputy Superintendent of Malippuram, retire from State service;
- ii. who was appointed in that permanent vacancy;
- iii. was the new incumbent senior to Mr. Anantarama Ayyar;
- iv. had Mr. Anantarama Ayyar any permanent appointment when the Deputy Superintendent's place was filled up;
- v. was the vacancy not pointed out and brought to the notice of the Diwan in Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's petition of 3rd Karkadagam 1097;

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

311

APPENDIX I.

(Vide Answer to Question No. 172.)

Serial Number	Name	Number of cases treated in each of the Veterinary Hospitals.	Number of cases treated by each of the Veterinary Inspectors	Remarks.
1	Ernakulam	2,113	2,113	* Including Additional Veterinary Inspector
2	Irinjalakkuda	3,722	3,722	
3	Trichur	4,020	4,020*	
4	Vadakkancheri	3,721	} 4,448	
5	Kunnamkulam	727		
6	Tattamangalam	5,011	} 5,595	
7	Nemmara	584		

APPENDIX " A ".

(Vide Answer to Question No. 182 (a).)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

(Land Revenue).

Read again G. O. No. C. 263/97, dated 9th November 1921, abolishing the post of the Fishery Inspector with effect from 1st Dhanu 1997.

Read also letter C. 29 (camp), dated 13th July 1922 from the Diwan Peishkar.

Order R. O. C. No. 1305/97, dated 19th July 1922.

When the appointment of the Fishery Inspector was abolished in Chingam last, no permanent place was found for the holder of it namely, Mr. Anantarama Ayyar, and he was consequently left to drift in acting arrangements, possibly on the off chance of his being provided with a place on the retirement of a superannuated officer. The latter officers having however been given extensions, that source of vacancy remained closed. Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's last acting appointment expires to-day, and the Diwan regrets to find that there is now no appointment which can be given to him or in which his services can be utilised in the interests of the State. The only course left open is to ask Mr. Anantarama Ayyar to submit his application for compensation pension under article 184 of the C. S. R. This he will be required to do at once.

(True extract)

(Sd.)

Secretary to the Diwan.

To

Mr. P. A. Anantarama Ayyar.

The Diwan Peishkar (with service book of Mr. Anantarama Ayyar).

" Comptroller of Accounts.

APPENDIX "B".

(Vide Answer to Question No. 182 d.)

Petition, dated 18th July 1922, from Mr. P. A. Anantarama Ayyar (on leave), to the Secretary to the Diwan.

In continuation of personal representations to the Diwan and the Secretary, I beg to submit the following for the Diwan's kind consideration and favourable orders.

I was the permanent Fishery Inspector on Rs. 125 till the abolition of that appointment in Dhanu 1097. But so long ago as Edavam 1094, I was sent out as acting Tahsildar, Chittur, and ever since I have had no occasion to revert to my permanent place of Fishery Inspector, since I was for the major portion of the interval on deputation as acting Tahsildar and on Special Duty as Tour Officer and otherwise for the remaining period. A kind reference to my service book will show the various appointments and deputations I have had during the above period. Practically therefore the Government had no idea that I shall have at any time to revert to my permanent place. For, when in Dhanu 1097 my permanent post of Fishery Inspector was abolished, I petitioned the Diwan for being provided with a permanent post, and the Diwan was pleased to give me the following reply, "The petitioner will be informed that his case will be considered when a suitable vacancy occurs, and that no representation from him is necessary." (Please see G. O. dated 2nd December 1921, Dis. No. 424 of 97/D, Land Revenue). I was then perfectly satisfied with such a favourable reply to my request for a permanent appointment, and had no reason for anxiety on any account for want of a permanent place. Even afterwards I was till a week ago continuing as acting Tahsildar in Chittur, and was also in possession of a lien on Tahsildarship even during my leave. Now, I beg respectfully to submit that, to my misfortune, the assurance held out to me by the Government in their order above quoted does not, as yet, seem capable of being given effect to in practice in the circumstances that have subsequently turned up by the reversion of the permanent Tahsildar, Mr. P. Govinda Menon, as Tahsildar, Trichur. I have no doubt, that it is really for want of a substantial and suitable vacancy that the Government have now been unable to provide an old servant in the fag end of his service with a footing, and that the benign Government in their broad sympathy and sense of justice will not hesitate to avail of the first opportunity to help him in his dire distress. I beg, therefore, to respectfully suggest the following courses for the Government's kind consideration and favourable orders to relieve me from my painful state of suspense and to provide me with a footing at least for the time being till other and more suitable opportunities present themselves.

(a) The post of the Museum Curator is now vacant, and the Registrar, Co-operative Societies, is in charge in addition to his normal duties on an allowance of Rs. 50. If I am to be appointed as a full-time officer to that vacant place, the Government will be only doing me an act of bare justice without at the same time incurring any additional expenditure.

(b) The present incumbent of the post of Deputy Superintendent, Malippuram, has been ordered to be retired in Kanni next. The place carries the same pay as that of the Fishery Inspector, and if the Government be pleased to help me, it can be done without detriment to that officer by conferring that permanent place on me and allowing him to continue there till the date of his retirement. In that case I have an opportunity to wait and continue on my present leave with a lien on that appointment till he vacates it or the Government finds it possible to provide me otherwise. Mr. Antony, the present holder of the place, can have no manner of real grievance or

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

313

reason for complaint by having no permanent place for the matter of two months when he is to retire. This is the most feasible and unobjectionable arrangement which the Government can, out of their sympathy, do to their officers in the present circumstances.

I, therefore, fervently hope that the Government will consider the above humble and reasonable suggestions of mine favourably to find a remedy for my present difficult situation, and redress my grievance in the best way they deem proper.

In conclusion I beg also to point out that my lien on Tahsildarship having expired on 21st Mithunam 1097, the date on which Mr. Joseph took charge at Chittur, I stand in need of a permanent provision of appointment with effect from that date. I, therefore, pray that in the event of the Government being pleased to comply with any of the above alternative requests the arrangement may be ordered to have effect from that date. I submit that I shall be the last man to harass the Government with petitions of any sort, but in the present case my position is unique and critical, since, without a permanent footing, I am unable to continue on any kind of leave nor am I eligible to retire on pension, since I have not completed the service required as per rules for a retiring pension.

In the circumstances explained above, I pray once more that either of the above alternative requests of mine may be granted, as they will, on examination, be found by the Government to be fair and just to all parties and detrimental to none.

APPENDIX "C."

(Vide Answer to Question No. 185.)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

(Land Revenue)

Read petition dated 11th Vrischigam 1097 from Mr. P. A. Anantarama Ayyar requesting to be provided with a permanent footing in the vacancy caused by the retirement of Mr. Kelar Tirupad.

G. O. Dis. No. 424/D/97, dated 2nd December 1921.

The petitioner will be informed that his case will be considered when a suitable vacancy occurs, and that no representation from him is necessary.

(True extract)

(Sd.)

Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The Diwan Peishkar (P. 893/197.)